## THE PATENT OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Address: 6 Xi Tu Cheng Lu, Haidian, Beijing	Post Code: 100088
ridaress, original changes, ridares, right	

Applicant:	SYSTEC POS-TECHNOLOGY GMBH			
Attorney:	ZHANG ZHAODONG	Date of Notification:		
Application No.:	200480034027.7	Date: 21 Month: 03 Year: 2008		
Title of the Invention:	TRANSPORT CAR WITH ANTI-THEFT PROTECTION			

## Notification of the First Office Action (PCT Application in the National Phase)

the   The		on of Artic has decide	ola 35 nar	aaranh l Atti	ne Patent I.a	W/		icant according to 5 paragraph 2 of
2.⊠ The	applica	nt claimed	d priority/	priorities bas	ed on the ap	plication(s):		
filed	in	DE	on	Sep 22, 2003	filed	in	on	,
filed	in		on		, filed	in	on	,
filed	in		on		, filed	inin	on	*
a == m1.	1:	_+ anhmitt	ad amand	mente to the a	nnlication of	1	and on	, wherein
J. ∐ Inc	appiici amend	ant suommi ed		submi	tted on		and	<del></del>
the	amend	ed	·	submi	tted on	agraph Lof the Ir	are not accepta	able,
L	amenu o sold s	mandman	te do not	comply with	Rule 51 par	agraph 1 of the Ir	nplementing Re	egulations of the
Patent La	ıw.	menamen	is do not	comply with	raio o i pai	-8. wh		
	_						A1:+iio	minimally filed
	aminati scriptio ms	on was din   Pages   Pages   Pages   Pages   Pages   Pages   The Cl	rected to the second of the se	the application the Chinese the Chinese the amendment the amendment the Patent Lagrangian of classification of classific	ranslation of cranslation of cranslation of cranslation of crans made under the made under the crans made under the crans submitted aims aims crans submitted aims crans submitted aims crans submitted aims crans	nder Article 28 or oder Rule 51 para ed on If the Internationa of the amendmer	al Application as a nexed to the Article 41 of Pagraph 1 of the last Application and the made under	s originally filed. EIPEA Report. CT. Implementing s originally filed. Article 19 of
□Abs	The Chinese translation of claimsof the amendments annexed to the IPEA Report.  The Chinese translation of claimsof the amendments made under Article 28 or  Article 41 of PCT.  The amendments of the claims made under Rule 51 paragraph 1 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.  The amendments of the claims submitted on  The amendments of the claims submitted on  Pagesof the Chinese translation of the International Application as originally filed.  Pagesof the amendments made under Article 28 or Article 41 of PCT.  Pagesof the amendments made under Rule 51 paragraph 1 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.  Pagesof the amendments submitted on  Abstract filed on The drawing accompanying the abstract filed on							
☐ Below is/are the reference(s) cited in this Office Action (the reference number(s) will be used								
throughout the examination procedure):								
		<b>N</b> T	mber(s) o	r Title(s) of F	Reference(s)		Date	of Publication
No.		Nu	moer(s) o	1 11110(3) 01 1	(3)			he conflicting application)

No.	Number(s) or Title(s) of Reference(s)	Date of Publication
140.	114411001(0) 07 21110(0) 4111111111111111111111111111111111111	(or filing date of the conflicting application)
<del></del>	US6102414	Day: 15 Month: 08Year: 2000
1 2 1	GB2327916A	Day: 10 Month: 02 Year: 1999
<u> </u>		

3		Day:	Month:	Year:				
4		Day:	Month:	Year:				
	onclusions of the Action: On the Description:	r Article f	of the Pate	nt Law.				
	<ul> <li>□ The subject matter contained in the application is not patentable under</li> <li>□ The description does not comply with Article 26 paragraph 3 of the Patent Law.</li> <li>□ The description does not comply with Article 33 of the Patent Law.</li> </ul>	atent Law	'.					
	☐ The description does not comply with Article 33 of the Latent Park.  ☐ The draft of the description does not comply with Rule 18 of the Impl.	ementing	Regulation	S.				
	On the Claims:  Claim(s) does/do not possess the novelty as required by Article	e 22 parag	graph 2 of th	e Patent				
	Law.  ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.3 does/do not possess the inventiveness as required by Patent Law.							
	Claim(s) does/do not possess the practical applicability as requ		uticle 22 pa	ragraph 4 of				
	Claim(s) is/are not patentable under Article 25 of the Patent La	C I accin i	Law.					
	Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 33 of the Patent Lav	v.	<b></b>					
	Claim(s) does/do not comply with the definition of inventions	preserioe						
	Claim(s) does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 13							
	Regulations.  Claim(s) 4 does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 20 of the Claim(s) does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 21 o does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 22 o does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 22 o does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 22 of the claim(s)	f the Imp	lementing R	egulations.				
	☐ Claim(s) 4 does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 25 of the	mpiomo						
	The divisional application does/do not comply with Rule 43 paragraph	l of the I	mplementing	g				
Re	gulations.  Please refer to the text portion of the Office Action for details.							
]	In view of the conclusions set forth above, the Examiner is of the opinion  The applicant should make amendments as directed in the text portion  The applicant should expound in the response reasons why the apparent amendments to the application where there are deficiencies as poin Notification, otherwise, the application will not be allowed.  The application contains no allowable invention, and therefore, if the reasons to prove that the application does have merits, it will be rejected.	oplication nted out i	in the text p					
	The followings should be taken into consideration by the applicant in main (1) Under Article 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant should respond to counting from the date of receipt of the Notification. If, without any justing the law and to have been withdrawn.	istified re	ason, the tir					
	eduting from the date of the deemed to have been withdrawn.  (2) Any amendments to the application should be in conformity with the Patent Law and Rule 51 of the Implementing Regulations. Substitution the format of the substitution should be in conformity with the release.	evant pro	vision cont	ained in "The				
	Examination Guidelines".  (3) The response to the Notification and/or revision of the application s to the "Reception Division" of the Patent Office, and documents	hould be not maile	mailed to o	r handed over do the				
	Reception Divisions have no legal effect.  (4) Without an appointment, the applicant and/or his agent shall not in	nterview	with the Ex					
	Patent Office.  This Notification contains a text portion of <b>3</b> pages and the following atta <b>2</b> cited reference(s), totaling <b>27</b> pages.	achments	:					
]	Examination Dept. 9 Examiner: 932H S	eal of the	Examination	n Department				

## TEXT PORTION OF THE FIRST OFFICE ACTION

Application Number: 2004800340277

The application relates to a transport car with anti-theft protection. After examination, the detailed opinions are provided as follows:

1. Claim 1 defines a transport car with rollers. Reference D1 (US6102414, refer to lines 15-24 of column 1, lines 40-47 of column 2, lines 47-56 of column 4; and Figs. 2-8) also discloses a shopping cart with wheels (equivalent to the transport car with rollers in the application), wherein one turn wheel 26 of the two turn wheels at the front axis can be fixed in a predetermined steering position (equivalent to the two rollers fixable in a predetermined steering position in the application), and such arrangement enables the shopping cart to move only around a fixed center of a circle so as to function as an anti-theft protection.

Claim 1 is different from reference D1 in: (1) all of rollers in the transport car in Claim 1 can be steered, but in reference D1 only the front wheels can be steered; (2) in Claim 1 two or more of the rollers are fixable in a predetermined steering position, while reference D1 discloses that only one front wheel can be fixed in a predetermined steering position. Based on the above distinctive features, it can be determined that, with respect to reference D1, Claim 1 actually aims to solve the technical problem of increasing the agility of operation of the rear rollers and providing anti-theft protection even in a situation when the roller that can fix a steering position is worn out.

As to the distinctive feature (1), it is a common technical means for those skilled in the art to design the rear rollers to be steerable in order to increase the agility of operation of the rear rollers; as to the distinctive feature (2), reference D2 (GB2327916A, refer to lines 29-30 on page 2; and Fig. 4a) also discloses a shopping trolley which can fix the steering position of the wheels, locking the steering position of a pair of wheels at left side or right side (equivalent to fixing a steering position of two rollers in the present application). Said distinctive feature (2) has been disclosed by reference D2, and the feature has the same function in reference D2 as in the present invention to solve the technical problem, i.e., to provide anti-theft protection even in a situation when the roller that can fix a steering position is worn out. It is obvious for those skilled in the art to obtain the technical solution of Claim 1 on the basis of reference D1 combined with the common technical means and reference D2. Claim 1 does not have prominent substantive features, and does not involve inventive steps as required under Article 22, paragraph 3 of the Patent Law of China.

2. Claim 2 is a dependent claim subordinate to Claim 1, and it further defines that an angle at which the steering position of the rollers can be fixed is different in reference to a longitudinal axis of the transport car. However, reference D1 discloses that the

shopping cart can only move around a fixed center of a circle under the action of the locking means. Those skilled in the art know that when two rollers have the same angle, the shopping cart steers in straight line according to the angle of the two rollers. Thus, only when the two rollers have different angles, can the shopping cart go around the common center of a circle defined by rollers fixed by the two angles. So those skilled in the art can get the technical solution of Claim 2 just through logical reasoning on the basis of the prior art. Therefore, the claim does not have prominent substantive features. As Claim 1 does not involve inventive steps, Claim 2 does not involve inventive steps as required under Article 22, paragraph 3 of the Patent Law of China.

- 3. Claim 3 is a dependent claim. However, the shopping cart disclosed in reference D1 has two axes (equivalent to the transport car being provided with several axles in the application); and reference D2 (GB2327916A, refer to lines 29-30 on page 2; and Fig.4a) also discloses a shopping trolley which can fix the steering position of the wheels, locking the steering position of a pair of wheels at left side or right side (equivalent to the two or more rollers that can be fixed in the predetermined steering position are mounted at a front axle as well as a rear axle). Therefore, the additional technical feature of Claim 3 has been disclosed by reference D1 and reference D2, and has the same function with the references. Reference D2 gives a teaching to combine the technical solution of reference D2 with reference D1 so as to obtain the technical solution of Claim 3. Thus, combining reference D1 with reference D2 is obvious for those skilled in the art. As Claim 1 it refers to does not involve inventive steps, Claim 3 does not involve inventive steps as required under Article 22, paragraph 3 of the Patent Law of China.
- 4. Claim 4 mentions "at a straight steering angle in reference to the longitudinal axis of the transport car", but this expression is not clear, because the underlined part may be understood as a right angle or an angle of zero with the longitudinal axis. Thus, Claim 4 is not in conformity with Rule 20, paragraph 1 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law of China.

Finally, it shall be noted that even if the "straight steering angle" in Claim 4 is supposed to be an angle of zero with the longitudinal axis according to the detailed embodiment in the description so as to remove the defect mentioned in above item 4 of the office action, Claim 4 still does not involve inventive steps as required under Article 22, paragraph 3 of the Patent Law of China. Detailed comments are as follows:

Claim 4 is a dependent claim. However, in reference D1 the turn wheel mounted at the front axis is fixable in a predetermined steering direction in reference to the longitudinal axis of the shopping cart, and it is obvious for those skilled in the art that the steering direction forms a diagonal angle with the longitudinal axis of the cart; and, the rear wheels in reference D1 cannot be turned, i.e., the rollers mounted at the rear axis may be fixed in a position at an angle of zero in reference to the longitudinal axis

(equivalent to the roller mounted at the rear axle being fixable in a position at an angle of zero in reference to the longitudinal axis of the transport car). Thus, the additional technical feature of Claim 4 has been disclosed by reference D1. As the claim it refers to does not involve inventive steps, Claim 4 does not involve inventive steps as required under Article 22, paragraph 3 of the Patent Law of China.

- 5. Claim 4 is a multiple dependent claim but refers to the preceding multiple dependent Claim 3, so Claim 4 does not comply with Rule 23, paragraph 2 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law of China.
- 6. In paragraph [0009] in the description text, it is recorded "... is attained in the characterizing features according to the claims", but this manner of expression does not comply with Rule 18, paragraph 3 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law of China.

Based on the above reasons, the application can not be granted the right of patent under the present text. It might be granted if the applicant makes amendment to the application documents in accordance with the examination opinions to remove the defects. Any amendment to be made shall not go beyond the scope of the original description and claims so as to comply with the provision of Article 33 of the Patent Law of China.

Examiner